|  |
| --- |
| **Student Work Samples:**  **Consecutive Sums Tasks**  *The student work samples shared here are based on actual student responses1.* |

***When you add any two consecutive numbers, the answer is always odd.***

For each student response: (1) Discuss the student’s argument? (2) Determine if the argument shows the claim is true.

# Micah’s Response



Does the argument show the claim is true?

5 and 6 are consecutive numbers, and 5 + 6 = 11 and 11 is an odd number.

12 and 13 are consecutive numbers, and 12 + 13 = 25 and 25 is an odd number. 1240 and 1241 are consecutive numbers, and 1240 +1241 = 2481 and 2481 is an odd number.

That’s how I know that no matter what two consecutive numbers you add, the answer will always be an odd number.


# Roland’s Response




Does the argument show the claim is true?


The answer is always odd.

A number + The next number =







An odd number



There’s always one left over when you put them together, so it’s odd.



# Angel’s Response

Consecutive numbers go even, odd, even, odd, and so on. So if you take any two consecutive numbers, you will always get one even and one odd number.



Does the argument show the claim is true?

And we know that when you add any even number with any odd number the answer is always odd.

That’s how I know that no matter what two consecutive numbers you add, the answer will always be an odd number.

# Kira’s Response

Consecutive numbers are n and n+1. Add the two numbers:



Does the argument show the claim is true?

*n* + (*n*+1) = 2*n* + 1

You get 2*n* + 1 which is always an odd number, because an odd number leaves a remainder of 1 when divided by

2. (2 goes into 2*n* + 1 *n* times, with a remainder of 1)

I Original problem from Bieda, K, Holden, C., & Knuth, E. (2006). Does proof prove?: Students' emerging beliefs about generality and proof in middle school. *Proceedings of the 28th Annual Meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education. Mérida, Mexico: Universidad Pedagógica Nacional* (pp

395 – 402).